Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/683,717	MADI ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Peter A. Hruskoci	1724	

All Participants:

(1) *Peter A. Hruskoci*.

(2) *Karlyn Schnapp*.

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: *1 July 2004*

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: .

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Prior Art Rejections as stated in the prior Office action dated 4-5-04.

Claims discussed:

All

Prior art documents discussed:

Prior Art of Record

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

It was agreed to amend the claims and to cancel 1-11 as shown in the Examiner's Amendment to patentably distinguish over the prior art of record in view of page 5 of the instant specification.

Part III.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

Peter A. Hruskoci

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)